## **NPDES Permit Rating Sheet**

NPDES No.: AR0047384

Facility Name: Canfor Southern Pine - Urbana Sawmill
City: El Dorado

Receiving water: unnamed tributary of North Lapile Creek

X Regular Addition
Discretionary Addition
Score Change, but no status change

Is this facility a stream electric power plant (SIC=4911)

with one or more of the following characteristics?

501 682-0045

1. Power output 500 MW or greater? (Not using a cooling pond/lake)

2. A nuclear power plant

Phone Number:

3.Cooling water discharge greater than 25% of the Receiving stream's 7Q10 flow rate

Yes - STOP HERE Score = 600 No- Continue X Is this Permit for a municipal separate storm sewer serving a population greater than 100,000?

Yes - STOP HERE Score = 700
No - Continue X

## **Factor 1: Toxic Pollutant Potential**

PCS SIC Code: Primary SIC Code: 2421
Other SIC Code: NAICS: 321113
Industrial Subcategory Code: 003 (Code 000 if no subcategory)

Determine the Toxicity potential from Appendix A. Be sure to use the TOTAL toxicity potential column and check one.

Toxicity Group	Code P	oints	Toxicity Group	Code	Points	Toxicity Group		Code	Points	
NPWS*	0	0	3	3	15	7		7	35	5
1 x	1	5	4	4	20	8		8	40	)
2	2	10	5	5	25	9		9	45	5
*No Process	Waste Str	eam	6	6	30	10		10	50	)
								Code C	hecked	:
							I	Points F	actor 1	:

Factor 2: Flow/Stream Flow Volume (Complete either Section A or Section B; check only one)

Section A-Wastewater Flow Only Considered

WW Type	Flow (MGD)	Code	Points
Type I:	<5	11	0
	5 to 10	12	10
	>10 to 50	13	20
	>50	14	30
Type II:	<1	21	10 <b>x</b>
	1 to 5	22	20
	>5 to 10	23	30
	>10	24	50
Type III:	<1	31	0
	1 to 5	32	10
	>5 to 10	33	20
	>10	34	30
N O/ TITIO		CI	

Section B-Wastewater and Stream Flow Considered

Section 5 waste.	THE STEEL			
WW Type	% WW*	Code	Points	
Type I/III:	<10	41	0	
	$\geq 10$ to $< 50$	42	10	
	≥50	43	20	
Type II:	<10	51	0	
	$\geq 10$ to $< 50$	52	20	
	≥50	53	30	

Code Checked: 21
Total Points Factor 2: 10

<sup>\* %</sup> IWC at receiving stream low flow

Factor 3: Conventional Pollutants (Only when	n limited by the perr	nit) NPDES No.: AR	0047384			
A. Oxygen Demanding Pollutant:	A. Oxygen Demanding Pollutant: (Check one)					
Permit Limits: (Check One) <100 lbs/day 100 to 1000 lbs/day >1000 to 3000 lbs/day >3000 lbs/day	Code Points    X	) 5				
		Code Checked: Points Checked:	1 0			
B. Total Suspended Solids (TSS)						
Permit Limits: (Check One) <100 lbs/day 100 to 1000 lbs/day >1000 to 3000 lbs/day >3000 lbs/day	Code Points    X	) 5 5				
		Code Checked: Points Checked:	<u> </u>			
C. Nitrogen Pollutant: (Check One)	/A <b>X</b>	MMONIA OTHER				
Permit Limits: (Check One) <300 lbs/day 300 to 1000 lbs/day >1000 to 3000 lbs/day >3000 lbs/day	Code Points  1 0 2 5 3 15 4 20	) 5 5				
		Code Checked: Points Checked:	0			
		Total Points Factor 3:	0			
Factor 4: Public Health Impact						
Is there a public drinking water supply located within 5 body of water to which the receiving water is a tributary galleries, or other methods of conveyance that ultimatel	y)? A public drinkin	g water supply may include filt	•			

Yes (If yes, check toxicity potential number below) X
No (If no, go to factor 5)

Determine the human health toxicity potential from Appendix A. Use the same SIC code and subcategory reference as in Factor 1. (Be sure to use the <u>human health</u> toxicity group column-check one below)

Toxicity Group		Code Po	ints	Toxicity Group	Code	Points	Toxicity Group	Code	Points
NPWS*		0	0	3	3	0	7	7	15
1	X	1	0	4	4	0	8	8	20
2		2	0	5	5	5	9	9	25
*No Proce	ess V	Waste Stre	eam	6	6	10	10	10	30

Code Checked: 1
Total Points Factor 4: 0

## **Factor 5: Water Quality Factors**


A. Is (or will) one or more of the effluent discharge limits based on water quality factors of the receiving stream (rather than technology-based Federal ELGs, or technology-based State ELGs), or has a WLA been assigned to the discharge?

		Code	Points
Yes	X	1	10
No		2	0

B. Is the receiving water in compliance with applicable WQSs for pollutants that are water quality-limited in the permit?

		Code	Points
Yes	X	1	0
No		2	5

C. Does the effluent discharged from this facility exhibit reasonable potential to violateWQSs due to whole effluent toxicity?



 Code Checked:
 A:
 1 B:
 1 C:
 2

 Points Checked:
 A:
 10 B:
 0 C:
 0

Total Points Factor 5: 10

1

## **Factor 6: Proximity to Near Coastal Waters**



24

A. Base Score: Flow code from Factor 2: 21

HPRI #	Code	HPRI Score
1	1	20
2	2	0
3	3	30
4	4	0
5	5	20

Flow Code	Multiplication Factor
11, 31, or 41	0
12, 32, or 42	0.05
13, 33, or 43	0.1
14 or 34	0.15
21 or 51	0.1
22 or 52	0.3
23 or 53	0.6

Multiplication factor for flow code: 0.10

HPRI Code Checked:

Base Score: (HPRI Score) 0 x Flow Code Multiplication Factor 0.10 = 0 Base Point

B. Additional Points - NEP Program: For a facility that has an HPRI code of 3, does the facility discharge to one of the estuaries enrolled in the National Estuary Protection (NEP) program (See instructions) or the Chesapeake Bay?

	Code	Points
Yes	1	10
No	2	0

Code Checked: Additonal NEP Points: 0

C. Additional Points-Great Lakes Area of Concern for a facility that has an HPRI code of 5, does the facility discharge any of the pollutants of concern into one of the Great Lakes' 31 areas of concern (See instruction)

	Code	Points
Yes	1	10
No	2	0

Code Checked:
Additional Great Lakes Points:

0

Total Points Factor 6:

Score Summary	NPDES No.:
---------------	------------

Factor	Description	Points
1	Toxic Pollutant Potential	5
2	Flow/Stream Flow Volume	10
3	Conventional Pollutants	0
4	Public Health Impacts	0
5	Water Quality Factors	10
6	Proximity to Near Coastal Waters	0

Total Points 25

0

Total score is less than 80 - Facility is a MINOR facility based on points							
	Would you like this facility to be discretionary major?						
Reason:							
New Score: Old Score:	25 25						
		Kai Imamura					
		Permit Reviewer's Name					
		501-682-0045					
		Phone Number					
		6/23/2022					
		Date					